

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 WAYMON MICKIANGELO BERRY, III,

12 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-03-0631 GEB DAD P

13 vs.

14 MICHAEL E. KNOWLES, et al.,

15 Respondents.

ORDER

16 _____/
17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has
18 filed this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28
19 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
20 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local
21 General Order No. 262.

22 On March 28, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings
23 and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and
24 which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the
25 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days.
26 On April 25, 2005, petitioner was granted until May 20, 2005, in

1 which to file his objections. Petitioner has filed objections to
2 the findings and recommendations.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
4 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
6 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to
7 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 28,
10 2005, are adopted in full; and

11 2. Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus
12 is denied, and this action is dismissed.

13 Dated: May 18, 2005

14
15 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
16 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26